

Confluent KZ equations for $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ with Poincaré rank 2 at infinity

Hajime Nagoya*

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo,
Tokyo 153-8914, Japan

e-mail: nagoya@math.kobe-u.ac.jp

and

Juanjuan Sun

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo,
Tokyo 153-8914, Japan

email: sunjuan@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

October 12, 2010

Abstract

We construct confluent KZ equations with Poincaré rank 2 at infinity for the case of $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ and the integral representation for the solutions. Hamiltonians of these confluent KZ equations are derived from suitable quantization of $d \log \tau$ constructed in the theory of monodromy preserving deformation in [11]. Our confluent KZ equations can be viewed as a quantization of monodromy preserving deformation with Poincaré rank 2 at infinity.

MSC 2000:32G34, 17B80, 34M55, 37J35, 81R12, 33C15.

1 Introduction

The KZ equation is a system of linear partial differential equations with regular singularities, and it has integral formulas of hypergeometric type for solutions [16]. Further, the KZ equation is a quantization of the Schlesinger equation, which describes monodromy preserving deformation (MPD) of linear differential equations with regular singularities [8], [15], and [18].

Irregular singular versions of the KZ equation have been considered in some cases. Generalized KZ equations with Poincaré rank 1 at infinity for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ were presented in [2] and later for any simple Lie algebra in [6]. In [9], confluent KZ equations with an arbitrary Poincaré rank were obtained for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$.

*Present address: Department of Mathematics, Kobe University, Kobe 657-8501, Japan, Research Fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science

A quantization of the Painlevé equations with affine Weyl group actions was proposed in [9], [13], and [14]. In [9], the quantum Painlevé equations QP_J ($J = I, II, III, IV, V$) were derived formally. In all the above mentioned cases, the solutions to the equations are expressed by integral formulas of confluent hypergeometric type.

In this paper, we construct confluent KZ equations with Poincaré rank 2 at infinity for $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ and integral formulas of confluent hypergeometric type for solutions. Further, we discuss a connection between our confluent KZ equations and a quantization of MPD with Poincaré rank 2 at infinity.

Hamiltonians for our confluent KZ equations are obtained assuming suitable quantization of the function $d \log \tau$. The function τ was given in the study of MPD [11]. The function $d \log \tau$ for regular singularities gives the Hamiltonians of the Schlesinger equation. Moreover, it was shown in [19] that Hamiltonians of MPD with Poincaré rank 2 at infinity for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ are derived from $d \log \tau$. Therefore, we expect the exact formulas of Hamiltonians of MPD are obtained from $d \log \tau$. Indeed, compatibility condition of the confluent KZ equation implies that Hamiltonians of MPD (classical case) with Poincaré rank 2 at infinity are derived from $d \log \tau$.

Hamiltonians for the KZ equations are called the Gaudin Hamiltonians. The problem of diagonalization of the Gaudin Hamiltonians is called the Gaudin model [7]. It was known that the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the Gaudin model are derived from the integral formulas for solutions to the KZ equation [1]. Irregular singular versions of the Gaudin model were also studied as well as the standard Gaudin model. In [5], higher Gaudin Hamiltonians were constructed using non-highest weight representations of affine algebras, and eigenvectors of these Hamiltonians were constructed using Wakimoto modules of critical level. It was explained in [5] that there is a connection between the irregular singular version of the Gaudin model and the geometric Langlands correspondence.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we present notations of the truncated Lie algebras and define confluent Verma modules. In section 3, recalling the definition of Jimbo-Miwa-Ueno's tau function τ , we define Hamiltonians as suitable quantization of $d \log \tau$, and we give confluent KZ equations. In section 4, we present an integral formula

$$\int_{\Gamma} \Phi^{\frac{1}{\kappa}} \omega \tag{1.1}$$

as a solution to the confluent KZ equation. Here Φ is a scalar multi-valued master function, Γ is an appropriate cycle, and ω is a differential form taking values in a tensor product of confluent Verma modules. The master function Φ and the differential form ω are straightforward generalizations of the respective parts of the integral formulas for the general KZ equations in [6] and confluent KZ equations for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ in [9]. The differential form ω is represented in terms of a Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt basis, as shown in [12]. In section 5, we discuss a connection between our confluent KZ equations and a quantization of monodromy preserving deformation with Poincaré rank 2 at infinity.

2 Preliminary

2.1 Notation

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ and let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the Cartan subalgebra. We denote by Π , Δ , Δ_+ , Q and Q_+ the set of simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}$, the root system, the set of positive roots, the set of the root lattice, and the set of the positive part of the root lattice, respectively. Explicitly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi &= \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N-1}\}, \\ \Delta &= \{\pm(\alpha_i + \dots + \alpha_j) | 1 \leq i \leq j \leq N-1\}, \\ \Delta_+ &= \{\alpha_i + \dots + \alpha_j | 1 \leq i \leq j \leq N-1\}, \\ Q &= \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_p, \quad Q_+ = \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_p.\end{aligned}$$

We shall use the following sets of roots:

$$\begin{aligned}J_p &= \{\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta_+ \cap (\alpha_p + Q_+)\}, \\ R_p &= \{\alpha_p, \alpha_p + \alpha_{p+1}, \dots, \alpha_p + \alpha_{p+1} + \dots + \alpha_{N-1}\}, \\ C_p &= \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_p, \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_p, \dots, \alpha_p\},\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq p \leq N-1$. We define the following linear order of all positive roots.

Definition 2.1. Let $\alpha = \alpha_p + \alpha_{p+1} + \dots + \alpha_q$ ($p \leq q$), and $\alpha' = \alpha_{p'} + \alpha_{p'+1} + \dots + \alpha_{q'}$ ($p' \leq q'$). We define $\alpha > \alpha'$ if $p < p'$ or $p = p'$ and $q < q'$.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has a basis $\{e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha} \ (\alpha \in \Delta_+), h_p \ (p = 1, \dots, N-1)\}$, and dual basis $\{e_{-\alpha}, e_\alpha \ (\alpha \in \Delta_+), w_p \ (p = 1, \dots, N-1)\}$. They satisfy the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned}[h, h'] &= 0 && \text{if } h, h' \in \mathfrak{h}, \\ [h, e_\alpha] &= \alpha(h)e_\alpha && \text{if } h \in \mathfrak{h}, \alpha \in \Delta, \\ [e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}] &= h_\alpha && \text{if } \alpha \in \Delta_+, \\ [e_\alpha, e_\beta] &= 0 && \text{if } \alpha, \beta \in \Delta, \alpha + \beta \notin \Delta \cup \{0\}, \\ [e_\alpha, e_\beta] &= \epsilon(\alpha, \beta)e_{\alpha+\beta} && \text{if } \alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta \in \Delta.\end{aligned}$$

Here $h_\alpha = h_{\alpha_p} + h_{\alpha_{p+1}} + \dots + h_{\alpha_q}$ for $\alpha = \alpha_p + \alpha_{p+1} + \dots + \alpha_q$ with $h_{\alpha_p} = h_p$, and the function ϵ is defined by

$$\epsilon(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} 1, & \alpha > \beta, \\ -1, & \alpha < \beta. \end{cases}$$

The Casimir operator is defined by

$$\Omega = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (e_\alpha \otimes e_{-\alpha} + e_{-\alpha} \otimes e_\alpha) + \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} h_p \otimes w_p.$$

The homomorphism defined below gives a natural representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathbb{C}^N$: for $\alpha = \alpha_p + \alpha_{p+1} + \cdots + \alpha_q$, $e_\alpha = E_{p,q+1}$, $e_{-\alpha} = E_{q+1,p}$; $h_p = E_{p,p} - E_{p+1,p+1}$ and

$$w_p = \sum_{a=1}^p \left(1 - \frac{p}{N}\right) E_{a,a} - \frac{p}{N} \sum_{a=p+1}^N E_{a,a},$$

where $E_{p,q}$ is the matrix with the (p, q) -entry 1 and others 0.

2.2 Module

In this subsection, we define a confluent Verma module which is a natural generalization of a Verma module and will be used in subsequent sections. For $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, a confluent Verma module was defined in [9].

Let V_i be Verma modules of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to highest weights $\Lambda^{(i)}$ and highest weight vectors v_i , for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_{(2)}$ be the truncated Lie algebra $t\mathfrak{g}[t]/(t^3\mathfrak{g}[t])$, where $\mathfrak{g}[t] = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$. We denote $x \otimes t^p$ by $x[p]$. Let $V^{(\infty)}$ be defined as a cyclic $\mathfrak{g}_{(2)}$ -module with highest weights $\Lambda_p^{(\infty)}$ ($p = 1, 2$) generated by a vector v_∞ such that

$$e_\alpha[1]v_\infty = 0, \quad h_\alpha[p]v_\infty = (\Lambda_p^{(\infty)}, \alpha)v_\infty, \quad (\Lambda_2^{(\infty)}, \alpha) \neq 0 \quad (\forall \alpha \in \Delta_+, p = 1, 2),$$

and $e_{\pm\alpha}[2]$ ($\alpha \in \Delta_+, p = 1, 2$) act as 0 on $V^{(\infty)}$.

The action of $\mathfrak{g}_{(2)}$ on $V^{(\infty)}$ is simple. The elements $h_\alpha[p]$ ($\alpha \in \Delta_+, p = 1, 2$) act as scalars on $V^{(\infty)}$. The action of $e_\alpha[1]$ ($\alpha \in \Delta_+, p = 1, 2$) is only non-commutative with the action of $e_{-\alpha}[1]$. We denote $(\Lambda_1^{(\infty)}, \alpha)$ by γ_α and $(\Lambda_2^{(\infty)}, \alpha)$ by μ_α .

Let the module $V^{(\infty)}$ be equipped with differential operators with respect to γ_k ($:= \gamma_{\alpha_k}$) and μ_k ($:= \mu_{\alpha_k}$) ($k = 1, \dots, N-1$) as follows: Let the differential operators $\partial/\partial\gamma_k$ and $\partial/\partial\mu_k$ be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma_k}(e_{\pm\alpha}[1]) &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma_k}(h_\alpha[1]) = 1, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma_k}(h_\alpha[2]) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial\mu_k}(e_{\pm\alpha}[1]) &= \frac{1}{2\mu_\alpha}(e_{\pm\alpha}[1]) \quad (\alpha \in J_k), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial\mu_k}(e_{\pm\alpha}[1]) = 0 \quad (\alpha \notin J_k), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial\mu_k}(h_\alpha[1]) &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial\mu_k}(h_\alpha[2]) = 1 \quad (\alpha \in J_k), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial\mu_k}(h_\alpha[2]) = 0 \quad (\alpha \notin J_k), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma_k}(v_\infty) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\mu_k}(v_\infty) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha \in \Delta_+$. Here $x[p]$ ($x = e_{\pm\alpha}, h_\alpha, p = 1, 2$) is the action on $V^{(\infty)}$. We can verify that the differential operators $\partial/\partial\gamma_k$ and $\partial/\partial\mu_k$ preserve the commutation relations of the action of $\mathfrak{g}_{(2)}$ on $V^{(\infty)}$. Hence, the differential operators $\partial/\partial\gamma_k$ and $\partial/\partial\mu_k$ on $V^{(\infty)}$ are well-defined. We call $V^{(\infty)}$ a confluent Verma module.

Example 2.2. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$. Then a confluent Verma module $V^{(\infty)}$ is realized as a polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$. The actions of $x[p]$ ($x = e_{\pm\alpha}, h_\alpha, p = 1, 2$) are expressed as

$$e_{\alpha_i}[1] = \mu_i^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_i, \quad e_{-\alpha_i}[1] = \mu_i^{\frac{1}{2}}x_i, \quad e_{\pm\alpha_i}[2] = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

$$e_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}[1] = (\mu_1 + \mu_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_3, \quad e_{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}[1] = (\mu_1 + \mu_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}x_3, \quad e_{\pm(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}[2] = 0, \\ h_{\alpha_i}[1] = \gamma_i, \quad h_{\alpha_i}[2] = \mu_i, \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

where $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x_i$.

We consider $(\oplus_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{(2)})$ -module

$$V = V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n \otimes V^{(\infty)} \quad (2.1)$$

with $\mathbf{v} = v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \otimes v_\infty$. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, denote by $x^{(i)} : V \rightarrow V$ ($x \in \mathfrak{g}$) the linear operator acting as x on i th tensor factor V_i and as identities on the others. For $x[p] \in \mathfrak{g}_{(2)}$, denote by $x^{(\infty)}[p] : V \rightarrow V$ the linear operator acting as $x[p]$ on $V^{(\infty)}$ and as identities on the others.

Let $\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \Lambda^{(i)}$, $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_{N-1}) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{N-1}$, and a map $\alpha : (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{N-1} \rightarrow Q_+$ be defined as $\alpha(\mathbf{m}) = \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} m_p \alpha_p$. We denote by $V_{\mathbf{m}}$ the weight space of V with weight $\Lambda - \alpha(\mathbf{m})$ corresponding to $\sum_{i=1}^n h^{(i)} + h^{(\infty)}[0]$, ($h \in \mathfrak{h}$), that is,

$$V_{\mathbf{m}} = \left\{ x \in V \mid \left(\sum_{i=1}^n h^{(i)} + h^{(\infty)}[0] \right) (x) = (\Lambda - \alpha(\mathbf{m})) (h)x, \quad h \in \mathfrak{h} \right\}.$$

Here, for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $h^{(\infty)}[0]$ is defined as

$$h^{(\infty)}[0] = - \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \frac{\alpha(h)}{\mu_\alpha} e_{-\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] e_\alpha^{(\infty)}[1].$$

3 Confluent KZ equation

3.1 Hamiltonian

Let

$$\frac{\partial Y}{\partial x} = A(x)Y$$

be a system of linear ordinary differential equations, where $A(x)$ is a rational matrix. In [11] and [10], Jimbo, Miwa and Ueno developed a general theory of monodromy preserving deformation. They derived non-linear deformation equations and proved their complete integrability. They also gave explicit formula for a 1-form ω expressed in terms of the coefficients of $A(x)$, with the property $d\omega = 0$ for each solution of the deformation equations. In [3], Boalch showed that the deformation equations are Hamiltonian systems. However, the explicit formula for the Hamiltonian has not been given. Here we show that after an appropriate ordering the 1-form ω indeed provides the Hamiltonians in the quantum case at least up to Poincaré rank 2. In the following we give the concrete construction of the Hamiltonians. For that purpose, we recall the procedure of [11].

Let us consider the following system of linear ordinary differential equations for an $N \times N$ matrix $Y(z)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$,

$$\frac{dY}{dz} = A(z)Y \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$A(z) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{p=0}^{r_i} \frac{A_p^{(i)}}{(z - z_i)^{p+1}} - \sum_{p=1}^r z^{p-1} B_p$$

with $A_p^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and B_p ($p = 1, \dots, r$) are $N \times N$ matrices, and r_i, r are non-negative integers.

We assume

$$B_r = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & t_N \end{pmatrix}$$

with $t_i \neq t_j$ for $i \neq j$. For simplicity, we consider the case where all $r_i = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and r is a positive integer.

Now we consider the local solution of (3.1) at $z = \infty$.

Proposition 3.1 ([11]). *There exists a unique formal series $Y(z)$ at $z = \infty$ of the following form:*

$$Y(z) = \hat{Y}(z)e^{T(z)} \quad (3.2)$$

which solves (3.1) i.e.

$$\frac{d}{dz}\hat{Y}(z) = A(z)\hat{Y}(z) - \hat{Y}(z)\frac{d}{dz}T(z). \quad (3.3)$$

Here $T(z)$ is a diagonal matrix of the form

$$T(z) = - \sum_{p=1}^r T_p z^p / p - T_0 \log z, \quad (3.4)$$

with $T_r = B_r$ and $\hat{Y}(z)$ is a formal power series at $z = \infty$:

$$\hat{Y}(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} Y_p z^{-p}, \quad (3.5)$$

with $Y_0 = 1$.

The formal power series $\hat{Y}(z) = 1 + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} Y_p z^{-p}$ is uniquely factorized into

$$\hat{Y}(z) = F(z)D(z), \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$F(z) = 1 + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} F_p z^{-p}, \quad D(z) = 1 + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} D_p z^{-p}.$$

We have $F(z) - 1$ is diagonal free, and $D(z)$ is diagonal. Substituting (3.6) to (3.3) we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dz}F(z) + F(z)\frac{d}{dz}(\log D(z) + T(z)) = A(z)F(z). \quad (3.7)$$

Taking the diagonal part, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dz}(\log D(z) + T(z)) = (A(z)F(z))_D \quad (3.8)$$

Then (3.7) reads as

$$\frac{d}{dz}F(z) + F(z)(A(z)F(z))_D = A(z)F(z). \quad (3.9)$$

Here and below, we denote by $X_D = (\delta_{i,j}X_{jj})_{i,j=1,\dots,N}$ (resp. $X_{OD} = X - X_D$) the diagonal part (resp. off-diagonal part) of a matrix $X = (X_{i,j})_{i,j=1,\dots,N}$.

The authors of [11] derived a complete integrable non-linear deformation equations whose deformation parameters are $t_\nu^{(k)}$, where

$$T_k = \begin{pmatrix} t_1^{(k)} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & t_N^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (k = 1, \dots, r).$$

They also gave an explicit 1-form ω with the property $d\omega = 0$ for each solution of the deformation equations. The formula for ω reads as

$$\omega = -\text{res}_{z=\infty} \text{tr} \hat{Y}^{-1}(z) \frac{\partial \hat{Y}(z)}{\partial z} d'T(z), \quad (3.10)$$

here d' is the exterior differentiation with respect to the parameters t .

For $k = 1, \dots, r$, we set

$$\omega^{(k)} = \sum_{p=1}^N H_p^{(k)} dt_p^{(k)}$$

and

$$\omega = \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{p=1}^N H_p^{(k)} dt_p^{(k)} = \sum_{k=1}^r \omega^{(k)}.$$

Set $T_p = 0$ for $p < 0$, and $B_{-p} = \sum_{i=1}^n A_0^{(i)} z_i^p$ for $p \geq 0$. Rewriting (3.7) in terms of F_p , D_p , B_p and T_p , we get the form of ω which are presented by the matrix elements of B_{ps} . We give the explicit form for the case $r = 2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^{(1)} = & \sum_{p=1}^N \left(\sum_{k \neq p, s \neq p} \frac{(B_1)_{pk}(B_1)_{ks}(B_1)_{sp}}{(t_p^{(2)} - t_k^{(2)})(t_p^{(2)} - t_s^{(2)})} - (B_{-1})_{pp} \right. \\ & \left. - \sum_{k \neq p} \frac{(B_1)_{pk}(B_0)_{kp} + (B_0)_{pk}(B_1)_{kp}}{t_p^{(2)} - t_k^{(2)}} + \sum_{k \neq p} \frac{(B_1)_{pk}(B_1)_{kp}}{(t_p^{(2)} - t_k^{(2)})^2} \right) dt_p^{(1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\omega^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^N \left\{ - \sum_{k \neq p, s \neq p} \frac{(B_1)_{pk}(B_0)_{ks}(B_1)_{sp}}{(t_p^{(2)} - t_s^{(2)})(t_p^{(2)} - t_k^{(2)})} - \sum_{k, s \neq p} \frac{(B_0)_{pk}(B_1)_{ks}(B_1)_{sp}}{(t_p^{(2)} - t_k^{(2)})(t_p^{(2)} - t_s^{(2)})} \right\} \quad (3.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{k \neq p, s \neq p} \frac{(B_1)_{pk}(B_1)_{kp}(B_1)_{ps}(B_1)_{sp}}{(t_p^{(2)} - t_s^{(2)})(t_p^{(2)} - t_k^{(2)})^2} + \sum_{k \neq p} \frac{(B_1)_{pk}(B_1)_{kp}}{(t_p^{(2)} - t_k^{(2)})^2} (B_0)_{pp} \\
& + \sum_{k, s, r \neq p} \frac{(B_1)_{pk}(B_1)_{ks}(B_1)_{sr}(B_1)_{rp}}{(t_p^{(2)} - t_k^{(2)})(t_p^{(2)} - t_s^{(2)})(t_p^{(2)} - t_r^{(2)})} - \sum_{k, s \neq p} \frac{(B_1)_{pk}(B_1)_{ks}(B_0)_{sp}}{(t_p^{(2)} - t_k^{(2)})(t_p^{(2)} - t_s^{(2)})} \\
& - \sum_{k, s \neq p} \frac{(B_1)_{pk}(B_1)_{ks}(B_1)_{sp}}{(t_p^{(2)} - t_k^{(2)})(t_p^{(2)} - t_s^{(2)})^2} t_p^{(1)} - \sum_{k, s \neq p} \frac{(B_1)_{pk}(B_1)_{ks}(B_1)_{sp}}{(t_p^{(2)} - t_k^{(2)})^2(t_p^{(2)} - t_s^{(2)})} t_p^{(1)} \\
& + \sum_{k \neq p} \frac{(B_1)_{pk}(B_0)_{kp} + (B_0)_{pk}(B_1)_{kp}}{(t_p^{(2)} - t_k^{(2)})^2} t_p^{(1)} + \sum_{k \neq p} \frac{(B_1)_{pk}(B_1)_{kp}}{(t_p^{(2)} - t_k^{(2)})^3} (t_p^{(1)})^2 \\
& + \sum_{k \neq p} \frac{(B_0)_{pk}(B_0)_{kp}}{t_p^{(2)} - t_k^{(2)}} - \sum_{k \neq p} \frac{((B_1)_{pk}(B_{-1})_{kp} + (B_{-1})_{pk}(B_1)_{kp})}{t_p^{(2)} - t_k^{(2)}} - (B_{-2})_{pp} \Big\} dt_p^{(2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, $(B_i)_{pq}$ are the (p, q) -entries of the matrix B_i .

In the following, we consider the quantum case with restricting to $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ for $r = 2$.

For $p = 1, \dots, N$, we define $\bar{H}_p^{(1)}$ (resp. $\bar{H}_p^{(2)}$) in terms of $H_p^{(1)}$ (resp. $H_p^{(2)}$) as follows. First, we put $(B_i)_{pq}$ with $p \leq q$ to the right and the others to the left. Second, for $\alpha = \alpha_p + \dots + \alpha_q$, we substitute $e_\alpha^{(i)}$, $e_{-\alpha}^{(i)}$, $h_p^{(i)}$, $e_\alpha^{(\infty)}[1]$, $e_{-\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1]$ and $h_p^{(\infty)}[1]$, $h_p^{(\infty)}[2]$ for the matrix realizations $(A_0^{(i)})_{pq+1}$, $(A_0^{(i)})_{q+1p}$, $(A_0^{(i)})_{pp} - (A_0^{(i)})_{p+1p+1}$, $(B_1)_{pq+1}$, $(B_1)_{q+1p}$ and $(B_1)_{pp} - (B_1)_{p+1p+1}$, $(B_2)_{pp} - (B_2)_{p+1p+1}$, respectively. The definitions induce that $\bar{H}_p^{(1)}$, $\bar{H}_p^{(2)}$ are actions of elements in the algebra $\oplus_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{(2)}$ on the modules $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \otimes V^{(\infty)}$.

For the actions of $h_p^{(\infty)}[1] = \gamma_p$, $h_p^{(\infty)}[2] = \mu_p$ on the $\mathfrak{g}_{(2)}$ -module $V^{(\infty)}$, we define the following Hamiltonians

Definition 3.2. For $1 \leq p \leq N - 1$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_p^{(1)} &= \sum_{j=1}^p \left(1 - \frac{p}{N}\right) \bar{H}_j^{(1)} - \frac{p}{N} \sum_{j=p+1}^N \bar{H}_j^{(1)}, \\
\mathcal{H}_p^{(2)} &= \sum_{j=1}^p \left(1 - \frac{p}{N}\right) \bar{H}_j^{(2)} - \frac{p}{N} \sum_{j=p+1}^N \bar{H}_j^{(2)} + \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in J_p, \\ \alpha - \beta \in \Delta_+}} \frac{\mu_{\alpha-\beta}}{\mu_\alpha^2 \mu_\beta} e_{-\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] e_\alpha^{(\infty)}[1],
\end{aligned}$$

where the last term in the second line is called the complement term. More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_p^{(1)} &= - \sum_{i=1}^n z_i w_p^{(i)} - \sum_{\alpha \in J_p} \frac{1}{\mu_\alpha} \left(e_{-\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] E_\alpha + e_\alpha^{(\infty)}[1] E_{-\alpha} \right) - \sum_{\alpha \in J_p} \frac{\gamma_\alpha}{\mu_\alpha^2} e_{-\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] e_\alpha^{(\infty)}[1] \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \alpha+\beta \in J_p, \\ \beta \in \Delta_+}} \frac{\epsilon(\alpha, \beta)}{\mu_\alpha \mu_{\alpha+\beta}} \left(e_\alpha^{(\infty)}[1] e_\beta^{(\infty)}[1] e_{-\alpha-\beta}^{(\infty)}[1] + e_{-\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{-\beta}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(\infty)}[1] \right), \\
2\mathcal{H}_p^{(2)} &= - \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2 w_p^{(i)} - \sum_{\alpha \in J_p} \frac{1}{\mu_\alpha} \left(e_{-\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] E_{-\alpha}(-1) + e_\alpha^{(\infty)}[1] E_{-\alpha}(-1) \right) + \sum_{\alpha \in J_p} \frac{1}{\mu_\alpha} E_{-\alpha} E_\alpha \\
&+ \sum_{\alpha \in J_p} \frac{1}{\mu_\alpha^2} e_{-\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] e_\alpha^{(\infty)}[1] H_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha \in J_p} \frac{\gamma_\alpha}{\mu_\alpha^2} \left(e_{-\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] E_\alpha + e_\alpha^{(\infty)}[1] E_{-\alpha} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in J_p, \\ \alpha - \beta \in \Delta}} \frac{\epsilon(\alpha, |\alpha - \beta|)}{\mu_\alpha \mu_\beta} \left(E_\alpha e_{\beta-\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{-\beta}^{(\infty)}[1] + e_\alpha^{(\infty)}[1] E_{\beta-\alpha} e_{-\beta}^{(\infty)}[1] + e_\alpha^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\beta-\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] E_{-\beta} \right) \\
& + \sum_{\alpha \in J_p} \frac{\gamma_\alpha^2}{\mu_\alpha^3} e_{-\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] e_\alpha^{(\infty)}[1] - \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in J_p, \\ \alpha - \beta \in \Delta}} \frac{\epsilon(\alpha, |\alpha - \beta|)}{\mu_\alpha \mu_\beta} \left(\frac{\gamma_\alpha}{\mu_\alpha} + \frac{\gamma_\beta}{\mu_\beta} \right) e_\alpha^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\beta-\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{-\beta}^{(\infty)}[1] \\
& + \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in J_p, \\ \alpha - \beta, \beta - \gamma \in \Delta}} \frac{g(\alpha, \gamma)}{\mu_\alpha \mu_\beta \mu_\gamma} e_{-\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha-\beta}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\beta-\gamma}^{(\infty)}[1] e_\gamma^{(\infty)}[1] \\
& - \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in J_p, \beta - \alpha - \gamma \in \Delta, \\ \alpha - \beta, \beta - \gamma \in \Delta \cup \{0\}, \alpha \geq \gamma}} \frac{1}{\mu_\alpha \mu_\beta \mu_\gamma} e_{-\beta}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\beta-\alpha-\gamma}^{(\infty)}[1] e_\alpha^{(\infty)}[1] e_\gamma^{(\infty)}[1],
\end{aligned}$$

where $X_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n x_\alpha^{(i)}$, $X_\alpha(-1) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_\alpha^{(i)} z_i$ ($\alpha \in \Delta_+$), and

$$\begin{aligned}
|\alpha - \beta| &= \begin{cases} \alpha - \beta & \text{if } \alpha - \beta \in Q_+ \\ \beta - \alpha & \text{if } \beta - \alpha \in Q_+, \end{cases} \\
g(\alpha, \gamma) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha - \gamma \in \Delta \cup \{0\}, \\ -1 & \text{others.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Example 3.3. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$ and $n = 0$. Then, Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H}_1^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{H}_1^{(2)}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_1^{(1)} &= - \frac{\gamma_1}{\mu_1^2} e_{-\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1] - \frac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{(\mu_1 + \mu_2)^2} e_{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] \\
&+ \frac{1}{\mu_1(\mu_1 + \mu_2)} \left(e_{-\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{-\alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] + e_{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
2\mathcal{H}_1^{(2)} &= - \frac{1}{\mu_1^3} (e_{-\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1])^2 (e_{\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1])^2 - \frac{1}{(\mu_1 + \mu_2)^3} (e_{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1])^2 (e_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1])^2 \\
&+ \frac{\gamma_1^2}{\mu_1^3} e_{-\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1] + \frac{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)^2}{(\mu_1 + \mu_2)^3} e_{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] \\
&- \frac{1}{\mu_1(\mu_1 + \mu_2)} \left(\frac{\gamma_1}{\mu_1} + \frac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \right) \left(e_{-\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{-\alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] + e_{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] \right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{\mu_1^2(\mu_1 + \mu_2)} \left(e_{-\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{-\alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] - e_{-\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] \right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{\mu_1(\mu_1 + \mu_2)^2} \left(e_{\alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{-\alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] - e_{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{-\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1] \right).
\end{aligned}$$

3.2 Confluent KZ equation

Now we give the following differential equations, which we call a confluent KZ equation:

$$\kappa \frac{\partial u}{\partial z_i} = G_{-1}^{(i)} u \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \tag{3.13}$$

$$\kappa \frac{\partial u}{\partial \gamma_p} = \mathcal{H}_p^{(1)} u \quad (p = 1, \dots, N-1), \tag{3.14}$$

$$\kappa \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mu_p} = \mathcal{H}_p^{(2)} u \quad (p = 1, \dots, N-1), \quad (3.15)$$

where $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ and the unknown function $u(z_1, \dots, z_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{N-1}, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{N-1})$ takes value in V and the Gaudin Hamiltonians $G_{-1}^{(i)}$ are given by

$$G_{-1}^{(i)} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n, \\ j \neq i}} \frac{\Omega^{(i,j)}}{z_i - z_j} - \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \gamma_p w_p^{(i)} - \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} e_\alpha^{(\infty)}[1] e_{-\alpha}^{(i)} - z_i \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \mu_p w_p^{(i)} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Conjecture 3.4. *The confluent KZ equation (3.13)-(3.15) satisfies the compatibility condition, that is, we have*

$$\left[\kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} - G_{-1}^{(i)}, \kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - G_{-1}^{(j)} \right] = 0 \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, n), \quad (3.16)$$

$$\left[\kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} - G_{-1}^{(i)}, \kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_p} - \mathcal{H}_p^{(1)} \right] = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n, \quad p = 1, \dots, N-1), \quad (3.17)$$

$$\left[\kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} - G_{-1}^{(i)}, \kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_p} - \mathcal{H}_p^{(2)} \right] = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n, \quad p = 1, \dots, N-1), \quad (3.18)$$

$$\left[\kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_p} - \mathcal{H}_p^{(1)}, \kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_q} - \mathcal{H}_q^{(1)} \right] = 0 \quad (p, q = 1, \dots, N-1), \quad (3.19)$$

$$\left[\kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_p} - \mathcal{H}_p^{(1)}, \kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_q} - \mathcal{H}_q^{(2)} \right] = 0 \quad (p, q = 1, \dots, N-1), \quad (3.20)$$

$$\left[\kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_p} - \mathcal{H}_p^{(2)}, \kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_q} - \mathcal{H}_q^{(2)} \right] = 0 \quad (p, q = 1, \dots, N-1). \quad (3.21)$$

Remark 3.5. *Using a software SINGULAR [17] (see Appendix), we can confirm that the above conjecture is true when N is less than 7.*

4 Integral Formula

We present integrable formulas taking values in $V_{\mathbf{m}}$. Let S_p be an index set $\{1, \dots, m_p\}$ for each p ($1 \leq p \leq N-1$). We prepare the following integration variables

$$\{t_a^{(p)} | 1 \leq p \leq N-1, \quad a \in S_p\}. \quad (4.1)$$

We define the master function of an integrable formula as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z, t) &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (z_i - z_j)^{(\Lambda^{(i)}, \Lambda^{(j)})} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ 1 \leq p \leq N-1}} \prod_{a=1}^{m_p} (t_a^{(p)} - z_j)^{-(\alpha_p, \Lambda^{(j)})} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq N-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq m_p, \\ 1 \leq b \leq m_q}} (t_a^{(p)} - t_b^{(q)})^{(\alpha_p, \alpha_q)} \prod_{p=1}^{N-1} \prod_{1 \leq a < b \leq m_p} (t_a^{(p)} - t_b^{(p)})^{(\alpha_p, \alpha_p)} \\ &\quad \times \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^n \left((\Lambda_1^{(\infty)}, \Lambda^{(i)}) z_i + (\Lambda_2^{(\infty)}, \Lambda^{(i)}) \frac{z_i^2}{2} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \exp \left(\sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \sum_{a=1}^{m_p} \left((\Lambda_1^{(\infty)}, \alpha_p) t_a^{(p)} + (\Lambda_2^{(\infty)}, \alpha_p) \frac{(t_a^{(p)})^2}{2} \right) \right).$$

Next, we establish the ω part consisting of vectors $e_{-\alpha}^{(i)}, e_{-\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1]$ ($i = 1, \dots, n, \alpha \in \Delta_+$). For each $\alpha = \alpha_i + \dots + \alpha_j \in \Delta_+$ and $a = (a_i, \dots, a_j)$ ($a_k \in S_k, i \leq k \leq j$), we set

$$e_{-\alpha}(t_a^\alpha) = \frac{1}{(t_{a_j}^{(j)} - t_{a_{j-1}}^{(j-1)}) \cdots (t_{a_{i+1}}^{(i+1)} - t_{a_i}^{(i)})} \left(\sum_{s=1}^n \frac{e_{-\alpha}^{(s)}}{t_{a_i}^{(i)} - z_s} - e_{-\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] \right). \quad (4.2)$$

Let $K = (k_{\alpha_1}, k_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}, \dots, k_{\alpha_{N-1}}) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)}$ and

$$S(\mathbf{m}) = \left\{ K \mid \sum_{\alpha \in J_p} k_\alpha = m_p \ (p = 1, \dots, N-1) \right\}. \quad (4.3)$$

For $K \in S(\mathbf{m})$, let

$$A(K) = (a(\alpha_1, 1), \dots, a(\alpha_1, k_{\alpha_1}), a(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 1), \dots, a(\alpha_{N-1}, k_{\alpha_{N-1}})),$$

where $a(\alpha, l) = (a_i(\alpha, l), \dots, a_j(\alpha, l))$ ($\alpha = \alpha_i + \dots + \alpha_j, a_k(\alpha, l) \in S_k, i \leq k \leq j$) such that $a_p(\alpha, l) \neq a_p(\beta, l')$ for any $(\alpha, l) \neq (\beta, l')$ ($\alpha, \beta \in J_p, 1 \leq l \leq k_\alpha$ and $1 \leq l' \leq k_\beta$) and $\{a_p(\alpha, l) \mid \alpha \in J_p, 1 \leq l \leq k_\alpha\} = S_p$.

We assign $a(\alpha, l)$ to $e_{-\alpha}(t_{a(\alpha, l)}^\alpha)$ and we set

$$f_K = \sum_{A(K)} \prod e_{-\alpha}(t_{a(\alpha, l)}^\alpha), \quad (4.4)$$

where the summation is over all $A(K)$ and the order for the factor in each product is the same as the linear order $\alpha_1 > \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > \dots > \alpha_{N-1}$.

We define $\omega_{\mathbf{m}}$ as

$$\omega_{\mathbf{m}} = \sum_{K \in S(\mathbf{m})} f_K \mathbf{v}. \quad (4.5)$$

Remark 4.1. If $N = 2$, then $\Phi(z, t)$ and $\omega_{\mathbf{m}}$ are exactly those defined in [9]. If $e_\alpha^{(\infty)}[1]$ ($\alpha \in \Delta$) and $\Lambda_2^{(\infty)}$ are zero, then $\omega_{\mathbf{m}}$ and $\Phi(z, t)$ are equivalent to those defined in [6].

Example 4.2. We give an example for the case of $\mathfrak{sl}_3$. Let $\mathbf{m} = (1, 1)$ and $n = 0$, then,

$$\Phi = (t^{(1)} - t^{(2)})^{-1} \exp \left(\gamma_1 t^{(1)} + \mu_1 \frac{(t^{(1)})^2}{2} \right) \exp \left(\gamma_2 t^{(2)} + \mu_2 \frac{(t^{(2)})^2}{2} \right), \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$\omega_{\mathbf{m}} = \left(e_{-\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{-\alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1] - \frac{e_{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1]}{t^{(2)} - t^{(1)}} \right) \mathbf{v}. \quad (4.7)$$

Theorem 4.3. *With an appropriate choice of cycles Γ , the function*

$$u = \int_{\Gamma} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq N-1, \\ 1 \leq a \leq m_p}} dt_a^{(p)} \Phi^{1/\kappa}(z, t) \omega_{\mathbf{m}} \quad (4.8)$$

taking values in $V_{\mathbf{m}}$ is a solution to the confluent KZ equation (3.13)-(3.15).

Let $\mathfrak{S}_{m_p}$ be the group of all permutations on the variables $\{t_a^{(p)} | a \in S_p\}$, for $p = 1, \dots, N-1$. We assume that for any rational function φ whose poles are in the diagonal set D defined as

$$D = \bigcup_{\substack{a,p \\ b,q}} \{t_a^{(p)} = t_b^{(q)}\} \cup \bigcup_{a,p,i} \{t_a^{(p)} = z_i\} \cup \bigcup_{i,j} \{z_i = z_j\}, \quad (4.9)$$

an integral formula

$$\int_{\Gamma} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq N-1, \\ 1 \leq a \leq m_p}} dt_a^{(p)} \Phi^{1/\kappa}(z, t) \varphi \quad (4.10)$$

is invariant under the action of $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{N-1})$ ($\sigma_p \in \mathfrak{S}_{m_p}$, $1 \leq p \leq N-1$).

We also assume that for any variable $t_b^{(q)}$,

$$\int_{\Gamma} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq N-1, \\ 1 \leq a \leq m_p}} dt_a^{(p)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_b^{(q)}} (\Phi^{1/\kappa}(z, t) \varphi) = 0. \quad (4.11)$$

The outline of the proof of Theorem 4.3. The proof follows from direct computations. Let us explain briefly outline of the computation of the proof. First, we compute the left hand side of the confluent KZ equation (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15). We rewrite the result after taking the derivations on the integral formula by the rational functions appeared in the expression of f_K for $K \in S(m)$, using an identity

$$\frac{1}{(x-y)(y-z)} + \frac{1}{(y-z)(z-x)} + \frac{1}{(z-x)(x-y)} = 0 \quad (4.12)$$

repeatedly and the invariance under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_{m_1} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{S}_{m_{N-1}}$. Second, we compute the right hand side of the confluent KZ equation (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15). Note that the elements $e_{-\alpha}^{(i)}$ ($\alpha \in \Delta_+$) appeared in the expression of f_K for $K \in S(m)$ are ordered by the linear order defined in Definition 2.1. We rewrite the action of the Hamiltonians on each $f_K v$ according to the linear order. Third, we identify the parts of the left hand side with the parts of the right hand side.

We compute the case of $n = 0$ and $N = 3$ only in this paper. In a similar way, we can compute the other cases.

Before proceeding the computation, we prepare some notations. Let

$$\nabla_a^{(p)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_a^{(p)}} + \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_a^{(p)}} (\log(\Phi)) \quad (p = 1, 2, a = 1, \dots, m_p).$$

Because, for $q = 1, 2$ and $b = 1, \dots, m_q$,

$$\int_{\Gamma} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq 2, \\ 1 \leq a \leq m_p}} dt_a^{(p)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_b^{(q)}} (\Phi^{\frac{1}{\kappa}} \varphi) = \int_{\Gamma} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq 2, \\ 1 \leq a \leq m_p}} dt_a^{(p)} \Phi^{\frac{1}{\kappa}} \nabla_b^{(q)} (\varphi).$$

Let rational functions φ_k ($k = 1, \dots, \min\{m_1, m_2\}$) be defined as

$$\varphi_k = \prod_{a=1}^k \frac{1}{t_a^{(1)} - t_a^{(2)}},$$

and $\varphi_0 = 1$. For a rational function $\varphi(t)$, denote by $\langle \varphi(t) \rangle$ the integral formula

$$\int_{\Gamma} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq 2, \\ 1 \leq a \leq m_p}} dt_a^{(p)} \Phi^{\frac{1}{\kappa}} \varphi(t).$$

Then, the function $u \in V_m$ ($m = (m_1, m_2)$) (4.8) is represented as

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \int_{\Gamma} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq 2, \\ 1 \leq a \leq m_p}} dt_a^{(p)} \Phi^{\frac{1}{\kappa}} \omega_m \\ &= (-1)^{m_1+m_2} \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{m_1, m_2\}} \frac{m_1! m_2!}{(m_1 - k)! (m_2 - k)! k!} \langle \varphi_k \rangle (e_{-\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1])^{m_1-k} (e_{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1])^k (e_{-\alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1])^{m_2-k} v. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 4.3 (3.14) **in the case of $n = 0$ and $N = 3$.** The left hand side of (3.14) for $p = 1$ is computed as

$$\kappa \frac{\partial u}{\partial \gamma_1} = \sum_{b=1}^{m_1} \langle t_b^{(1)} \omega_m \rangle. \quad (4.13)$$

We rewrite the result (4.13) in terms of $\langle \varphi_k \rangle$. By using Lemma 4.4, (4.13) is computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{b=1}^{m_1} \langle t_b^{(1)} \omega_m \rangle &= (-1)^{m_1+m_2} \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{m_1, m_2\}} \frac{m_1! m_2!}{(m_1 - k)! (m_2 - k)! k!} \left\{ \frac{k}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} [-(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \langle \varphi_k \rangle + \mu_2 \langle \varphi_{k-1} \rangle] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{m_1 - k}{\mu_1} [(m_2 - k) \langle \varphi_{k+1} \rangle - \gamma_1 \langle \varphi_k \rangle] \right\} (e_{-\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1])^{m_1-k} (e_{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1])^k (e_{-\alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1])^{m_2-k} v. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

On the other hand, the right hand side of (3.14) for $p = 1$, $\mathcal{H}_1^{(1)} u$, is easily calculated and coincides with (4.14). For the case of $p = 2$, it can be verified in the similar manner. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. For $0 \leq k \leq \min\{m_1, m_2\}$ and $1 \leq b \leq k$, we have

$$(\mu_1 + \mu_2) \langle t_b^{(1)} \varphi_k \rangle = -(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \langle \varphi_k \rangle + \mu_2 \langle \varphi_{k-1} \rangle, \quad (4.15)$$

and

$$(\mu_1 + \mu_2) \langle t_b^{(2)} \varphi_k \rangle = -(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \langle \varphi_k \rangle - \mu_1 \langle \varphi_{k-1} \rangle. \quad (4.16)$$

For $0 \leq k \leq \min\{m_1, m_2\}$ and $k + 1 \leq b \leq m_1$, we have

$$\mu_1 \langle t_b^{(1)} \varphi_k \rangle = (m_2 - k) \langle \varphi_{k+1} \rangle - \gamma_1 \langle \varphi_k \rangle. \quad (4.17)$$

For $0 \leq k \leq \min\{m_1, m_2\}$ and $k + 1 \leq b \leq m_2$, we have

$$\mu_2 \langle t_b^{(2)} \varphi_k \rangle = -(m_1 - k) \langle \varphi_{k+1} \rangle - \gamma_2 \langle \varphi_k \rangle. \quad (4.18)$$

Proof. In order to prove (4.15), we compute $\langle \kappa \left(\nabla_b^{(1)} + \nabla_b^{(2)} \right) \varphi_k \rangle$ as follows. From the definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \kappa \left(\nabla_b^{(1)} + \nabla_b^{(2)} \right) \varphi_k \right\rangle &= \left\langle \left(\sum_{c=1, c \neq b}^{m_1} \frac{2}{t_b^{(1)} - t_c^{(1)}} + \sum_{c=1}^{m_2} \frac{-1}{t_b^{(1)} - t_c^{(2)}} + \gamma_1 + \mu_1 t_b^{(1)} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{c=1, c \neq b}^{m_2} \frac{2}{t_b^{(2)} - t_c^{(2)}} + \sum_{c=1}^{m_1} \frac{-1}{t_b^{(2)} - t_c^{(1)}} + \gamma_2 + \mu_2 t_b^{(2)} \right) \varphi_k \right\rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let X_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \left\langle \sum_{c=1, c \neq b}^{m_1} \frac{2}{t_b^{(1)} - t_c^{(1)}} \varphi_k \right\rangle, & X_2 &= \left\langle \sum_{c=1, c \neq b}^{m_2} \frac{-1}{t_b^{(1)} - t_c^{(2)}} \varphi_k \right\rangle, \\ X_3 &= \left\langle \sum_{c=1, c \neq b}^{m_2} \frac{2}{t_b^{(2)} - t_c^{(2)}} \varphi_k \right\rangle, & X_4 &= \left\langle \sum_{c=1, c \neq b}^{m_1} \frac{-1}{t_b^{(2)} - t_c^{(1)}} \varphi_k \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By the invariance under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_{m_1} \times \mathfrak{S}_{m_2}$, we have

$$\frac{X_1}{2} = \left\langle \sum_{\substack{c=1, \\ c \neq b}}^k \frac{-1}{t_b^{(1)} - t_c^{(1)}} \varphi_k \right\rangle + \left\langle \sum_{c=k+1}^{m_1} \frac{-1}{t_b^{(1)} - t_c^{(1)}} \frac{1}{t_c^{(1)} - t_b^{(2)}} \prod_{a=1, a \neq b}^k \frac{1}{t_a^{(1)} - t_a^{(2)}} \right\rangle.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$X_1 + X_4 = \left\langle \sum_{c=1, c \neq b}^k \frac{-1}{t_b^{(2)} - t_c^{(1)}} \varphi_k \right\rangle.$$

In the similar way, we obtain

$$X_2 + X_3 = \left\langle \sum_{c=1, c \neq b}^k \frac{1}{t_b^{(1)} - t_c^{(2)}} \varphi_k \right\rangle.$$

Consequently, by the invariance under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_{m_1} \times \mathfrak{S}_{m_2}$, we have

$$X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 = 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\langle \kappa \left(\nabla_b^{(1)} + \nabla_b^{(2)} \right) \varphi_k \rangle = (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \langle \varphi_k \rangle + (\mu_1 + \mu_2) \langle t_b^{(1)} \varphi_k \rangle - \mu_2 \langle \varphi_{k-1} \rangle = 0,$$

which finishes the proof for the relation (4.15).

The other relations (4.16), (4.17), and (4.18) can be verified in the similar manner, by computing $\langle \kappa \left(\nabla_b^{(1)} + \nabla_b^{(2)} \right) \varphi_k \rangle$, $\langle \kappa \nabla_b^{(1)} \varphi_k \rangle$, and $\langle \kappa \nabla_b^{(2)} \varphi_k \rangle$, respectively. $\square$

The proof of Theorem 4.3 (3.15) in the case of $n = 0$ and $N = 3$. Because, for $k = 0, 1, \dots, \min\{m_1, m_2\}$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_1} \left((e_{-\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1])^{m_1-k} (e_{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1])^k (e_{-\alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1])^{m_2-k} v \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m_1 - k}{\mu_1} + \frac{k}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \right) \left((e_{-\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1])^{m_1-k} (e_{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1])^k (e_{-\alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1])^{m_2-k} v \right),$$

the left hand side of (3.15) for $p = 1$ is computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mu_1} &= \sum_{b=1}^{m_1} \left\langle \frac{(t_b^{(1)})^2}{2} \omega_m \right\rangle + (-1)^{m_1+m_2} \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{m_1, m_2\}} \frac{m_1! m_2!}{2(m_1-k)!(m_2-k)!k!} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{m_1 - k}{\mu_1} + \frac{k}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \right) \langle \varphi_k \rangle (e_{-\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1])^{m_1-k} (e_{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1])^k (e_{-\alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1])^{m_2-k} v. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

We rewrite the result (4.19) in terms of $\langle \varphi_k \rangle$. By using Lemma 4.5, (4.19) is computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mu_1} &= \frac{(-1)^{m_1+m_2}}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{m_1, m_2\}} \frac{m_1! m_2!}{(m_1-k)!(m_2-k)!k!} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{k}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \left(\left(-(m_1-1) + \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} (m_2-k+1) + \frac{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)^2}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \right) \langle \varphi_k \rangle \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \mu_2 \left(\frac{\gamma_1}{\mu_1} + \frac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \right) \langle \varphi_{k-1} \rangle \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{m_1 - k}{\mu_1} \left(-(m_2 - k) \left(\frac{\gamma_1}{\mu_1} + \frac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \right) \langle \varphi_{k+1} \rangle \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \left((m_2 - k) \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} + \frac{\gamma_1^2}{\mu_1} - (m_1 - 1) \right) \langle \varphi_k \rangle \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times (e_{-\alpha_1}^{(\infty)}[1])^{m_1-k} (e_{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1])^k (e_{-\alpha_2}^{(\infty)}[1])^{m_2-k} v. \right. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

On the other hand, the computation of the right hand side of (3.15) is straightforward and we see that as a result, the right hand side of (3.15) is equal to (4.20). The case of $p = 2$ can be proved in a similar way. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *For $0 \leq k \leq \min\{m_1, m_2\}$ and $1 \leq b \leq k$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_1 + \mu_2) \left\langle \left(t_b^{(1)} \right)^2 \varphi_k \right\rangle &= \left(-m_1 + 1 - \kappa + \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} (m_2 - k + 1) + \frac{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)^2}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \right) \langle \varphi_k \rangle \\ &\quad - \mu_2 \left(\frac{\gamma_1}{\mu_1} + \frac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \right) \langle \varphi_{k-1} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_1 + \mu_2) \left\langle \left(t_b^{(2)} \right)^2 \varphi_k \right\rangle &= \left(-m_2 + 1 - \kappa + \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} (m_1 - k + 1) + \frac{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)^2}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \right) \langle \varphi_k \rangle \\ &\quad + \mu_1 \left(\frac{\gamma_2}{\mu_2} + \frac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \right) \langle \varphi_{k-1} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

For $0 \leq k \leq \min\{m_1, m_2\}$ and $k+1 \leq b \leq m_1$, we have

$$\mu_1 \left\langle \left(t_b^{(1)} \right)^2 \varphi_k \right\rangle = - (m_2 - k) \left(\frac{\gamma_1}{\mu_1} + \frac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \right) \langle \varphi_{k+1} \rangle$$

$$+ \left((m_2 - k) \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} + \frac{\gamma_1^2}{\mu_1} - m_1 + 1 - \kappa \right) \langle \varphi_k \rangle. \quad (4.23)$$

For $0 \leq k \leq \min\{m_1, m_2\}$ and $k + 1 \leq b \leq m_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_2 \left\langle \left(t_b^{(2)} \right)^2 \varphi_k \right\rangle &= (m_1 - k) \left(\frac{\gamma_2}{\mu_2} + \frac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \right) \langle \varphi_{k+1} \rangle \\ &+ \left((m_1 - k) \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} + \frac{\gamma_2^2}{\mu_2} - m_2 + 1 - \kappa \right) \langle \varphi_k \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Proof. In order to prove (4.21), we compute $\langle \kappa (\nabla_b^{(1)} t_b^{(1)} + \nabla_b^{(2)} t_b^{(2)}) \varphi_k \rangle$ as follows. Let X_i be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \left\langle \sum_{c=1, c \neq b}^{m_1} \frac{2t_b^{(1)}}{t_b^{(1)} - t_c^{(1)}} \varphi_k \right\rangle, & X_2 &= \left\langle \sum_{c=1, c \neq b}^{m_2} \frac{-t_b^{(1)}}{t_b^{(1)} - t_c^{(2)}} \varphi_k \right\rangle, \\ X_3 &= \left\langle \sum_{c=1, c \neq b}^{m_2} \frac{2t_b^{(2)}}{t_b^{(2)} - t_c^{(2)}} \varphi_k \right\rangle, & X_4 &= \left\langle \sum_{c=1, c \neq b}^{m_1} \frac{-t_b^{(2)}}{t_b^{(2)} - t_c^{(1)}} \varphi_k \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \kappa \left(\nabla_b^{(1)} t_b^{(1)} + \nabla_b^{(2)} t_b^{(2)} \right) \varphi_k \right\rangle &= X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 + \langle \gamma_1 t_b^{(1)} \varphi_k \rangle + \langle \gamma_2 t_b^{(2)} \varphi_k \rangle \\ &+ (\mu_1 + \mu_2) \left\langle (t_b^{(1)})^2 \varphi_k \right\rangle + \left\langle (t_k^{(1)} + t_k^{(2)}) \varphi_{k-1} \right\rangle + \kappa \langle \varphi_k \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

We need to compute $\sum_{i=1}^4 X_i$ only, due to Lemma 4.4. By the invariance under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_{m_1} \times \mathfrak{S}_{m_2}$, we have

$$\frac{X_1}{2} = \left\langle \sum_{\substack{c=1, \\ c \neq b}}^k \frac{-t_c^{(1)}}{t_b^{(1)} - t_c^{(1)}} \varphi_k \right\rangle + \left\langle \sum_{c=k+1}^{m_1} \frac{-t_c^{(1)}}{t_b^{(1)} - t_c^{(1)}} \frac{1}{t_c^{(1)} - t_b^{(2)}} \prod_{a=1, a \neq b}^k \frac{1}{t_a^{(1)} - t_a^{(2)}} \right\rangle.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$X_1 + X_4 = (k - 1) \langle \varphi_k \rangle + \left\langle \sum_{c=1}^k \frac{-t_b^{(2)}}{t_b^{(2)} - t_c^{(1)}} \varphi_k \right\rangle.$$

In the similar way, we obtain

$$X_2 + X_3 = (k - 1) \langle \varphi_k \rangle + \left\langle \sum_{c=1}^k \frac{-t_b^{(1)}}{t_b^{(1)} - t_c^{(2)}} \varphi_k \right\rangle.$$

Consequently, by the invariance under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_{m_1} \times \mathfrak{S}_{m_2}$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 X_i = (k - 2) \langle \varphi_k \rangle. \quad (4.26)$$

Substituting the result (4.26) into (4.25), we obtain the relation (4.21).

The other relations (4.22), (4.23), and (4.24) can be verified in the similar manner, by computing $\langle \kappa (\nabla_b^{(1)} t_b^{(1)} + \nabla_b^{(2)} t_b^{(2)}) \varphi_k \rangle$, $\langle \kappa \nabla_b^{(1)} t_b^{(1)} \varphi_k \rangle$, and $\langle \kappa \nabla_b^{(2)} t_b^{(2)} \varphi_k \rangle$, respectively. $\square$

5 Monodromy preserving deformation

As mentioned in the introduction, our confluent KZ equations may be viewed as a quantization of Monodromy preserving deformation. In this section we give the explicit correspondence following the process in [9]. Below we use the parameter $\hbar = 1/\kappa$ in place of κ .

Recall that the confluent KZ equations (3.13)-(3.15) are defined from the following data: collection of Verma modules $V^{(i)}$ attached to each z_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$), and confluent verma module $V^{(\infty)}$ at ∞ . Let U be an invertible matrix solution to this system. We enlarge these data by adjoining the natural representation $\mathbb{C}^N$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ at the point $z = z_0$ with Poincaré rank 0. Let $\tilde{U}$ be the matrix solution to the corresponding system (3.13)-(3.15). Let us consider the quantity $Y(z) = U^{-1}\tilde{U}$. The following equations immediately follow from the confluent KZ equations:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}Y = A(z)Y, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}Y = B_{-1}^{(i)}Y, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_p}Y = B_{0,p}^{(\infty)}Y, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_p}Y = B_{1,p}^{(\infty)}Y. \quad (5.4)$$

Here we have set

$$\begin{aligned} A(z) &= \hbar U^{-1} \tilde{G}_{-1}^{(0)} U \\ &= \hbar U^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\Omega^{(j)}}{z - z_j} - \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \gamma_p w_p - \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} e_{\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{-\alpha} - z \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \mu_p w_p \right) U, \\ B_{-1}^{(i)} &= \hbar U^{-1} (\tilde{G}_{-1}^{(i)} - G_{-1}^{(i)}) U \\ &= -\hbar U^{-1} \frac{\Omega^{(i)}}{z - z_i} U, \\ B_{1,p}^{(\infty)} &= \hbar U^{-1} (-\mathcal{H}_p^{(1)} + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_p^{(1)}) U \\ &= \hbar U^{-1} \left(-z w_p - \sum_{\alpha \in J_p} \frac{1}{\mu_{\alpha}} \left(e_{-\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha} + e_{\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{-\alpha} \right) \right) U, \\ B_{2,p}^{(\infty)} &= \hbar U^{-1} (-\mathcal{H}_p^{(2)} + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_p^{(2)}) U \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2} U^{-1} \left(-z^2 w_p - \sum_{\alpha \in J_p} \frac{z}{\mu_{\alpha}} \left(e_{-\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha} + e_{\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{-\alpha} \right) + \sum_{\alpha \in J_p} \frac{1}{\mu_{\alpha}^2} e_{-\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] h_{\alpha} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\alpha \in J_p} \frac{\gamma_{\alpha}}{\mu_{\alpha}^2} \left(e_{-\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{\alpha} + e_{\alpha}^{(\infty)}[1] e_{-\alpha} \right) + \sum_{\alpha \in J_p} \frac{1}{\mu_{\alpha}} (e_{-\alpha} e_{\alpha} + e_{-\alpha} E_{\alpha} + E_{-\alpha} e_{\alpha}) \right) U. \end{aligned}$$

The integrability condition for (5.1)-(5.4) gives rise to a system of non-linear differential equations with respect to the ‘time’ variables $\mathbf{z}$ and γ, μ . These are the quantization of the irregular Schlesinger equations.

Lemma 5.1. *The quantized Schlesinger system given above are Hamiltonian equations with the time-independent Hamiltonians*

$$H_{-1}^{(i)} = \hbar U^{-1} G_{-1}^{(i)} U$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and

$$\begin{aligned} H_{1,p}^{(\infty)} &= \hbar U^{-1} \mathcal{H}_p^{(1)} U, \\ H_{2,p}^{(\infty)} &= \hbar U^{-1} \mathcal{H}_p^{(2)} U \end{aligned}$$

for $p = 1, \dots, N - 1$.

Proof: The proofs follow from the integrability conditions of (5.1)-(5.4) and the integrability condition of the confluent equations (3.13)-(3.15).

We consider the case $\lambda = i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). The integrability condition of the confluent equations (3.13)-(3.15) gives $[\tilde{G}_{-1}^{(0)}, \tilde{G}_{-1}^{(i)}] = 0$, thus we get $[\tilde{G}_{-1}^{(0)}, \tilde{G}_{-1}^{(i)} - G_{-1}^{(i)}] = [\tilde{G}_{-1}^{(0)}, G_{-1}^{(i)}]$. Conjugating with U^{-1} we obtain

$$[H_{-1}^{(i)}, A(z)] = -[B_{-1}^{(i)}, A(z)].$$

The integrability condition of (5.1), (5.2) implies

$$0 = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - A(z), \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} - B_{-1}^{(i)} \right] = \frac{\partial A(z)}{\partial z_i} - \frac{\partial B_{-1}^{(i)}}{\partial z} + [A(z), B_{-1}^{(i)}].$$

We note that

$$\frac{\partial B_{-1}^{(i)}}{\partial z} = \frac{\hbar U^{-1} \Omega^{(i)} U}{(z - z_i)^2} = \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}} A(z),$$

where $\overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}}$ means $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ acting only on the time variables, regarding the dynamical variables as constant. In summary, we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} A(z) = \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}} A(z) + [A(z), H_{-1}^{(i)}],$$

showing that $H_{-1}^{(i)}$ is the Hamiltonian for the time variable z_i .

The proof for γ_p, μ_p are similar, and we note that for $\mu_p, \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_p}} A(z)$ means $\hbar U^{-1} \frac{\partial G_{-1}^{(0)}}{\partial \mu_p} U$. $\square$

6 Appendix: Singular

We used a software Singular::Plural 3-1-0 to compute the commutativity of the Hamiltonians. In this appendix, we attach the program we used.

```
int n,m,k,l,s,t,p,q;
LIB "nctools.lib";

n=3;
```

```

// n corresponds to sl(n)

int i,j;

ring R=(0,r(1..(n-1)),m(1..(n-1)),z),(e(0..(n^2-1)),E(0..(n^2-1))),Dp;

// r(i) corresponds to the parameter \gamma_i.
// m(i) corresponds to the parameter \mu_i.
// e(i) corresponds to the root vector e^{\infty}_{\alpha}[1], for example,
// e(1)=e^{\infty}_{\alpha_1}[1],
// e(2)=e^{\infty}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}[1].
// E(i) corresponds to E_{\alpha}, for example, E(1)=E_{\alpha_1},
// E(2)=E_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}

matrix d[2*n^2][2*n^2];

//the matrix that defines the fundamental commutator relations

//sum mu_i+...mu_j
poly S(1);

for(i=0;i<=(n^2-1);i++){

    S(1)=0;

    p=i / n;

    q=i%n;

    if(i%n>0){

        if(p < q){

            for(k=p+1;k<=q;k++){
                S(1)=S(1)+m(k);
            }

            d[i+1,n*q+p+1]=-S(1);

        }

    }

}

for(j=i+1;j<=(n^2-1);j++){

```

```

        s=j / n;

        t=j%n;

        if(q==s){

            d[i+1+n^2,j+1+n^2]=-E(p*n+t);

        }

        if(t==p){

            d[i+1+n^2,j+1+n^2]=E(s*n+q);

        }

        if(q==s and t==p){

            d[i+1+n^2,j+1+n^2]=-E(p*n+t)+E(s*n+q);

        }

    }

}

print(d);
ncalgebra(1,d);
R;

//H(p) corresponds to Hamiltonians  $\mathcal{H}_p^{(2)}$ 

for(i=1;i<=(n-1);i++){
    poly H(i);poly W(i);

    for(j=1;j<=i;j++){

```

```

        W(i)=W(i)+(n-i)*E(n*(j-1)+j-1);

    }

    for(j=i+1;j<=n;j++){

        W(i)=W(i)-(i)*E(n*(j-1)+j-1);
    }

}

poly Q(1),Q(2);
poly S(2),S(3);

for(p=1;p<=(n-1);p++){

    for(k=1;k<=n^2-1;k++){
        S(1)=0;Q(1)=0;
        i=k / n;
        j=k%n;

        if(i<p and p<=j){

            for(l=i+1;l<=j;l++){
                S(1)=S(1)+m(l);Q(1)=Q(1)+r(l);
            }

            H(p)=H(p)-(z/S(1))*(e(n*j+i)*E(k)+e(k)*E(n*j+i));

            H(p)=H(p)+(1/S(1))*E(n*j+i)*E(k);

            H(p)=H(p)+(1/(S(1)^2))*e(n*j+i)*e(k)*(E(n*i+i)-E(n*j+j));

            H(p)=H(p)+(Q(1)/(S(1))^2)*(e(n*j+i)*E(k)+e(k)*E(n*j+i));

            H(p)=H(p)+(Q(1)^2/(S(1)^3))*e(n*j+i)*e(k);

            H(p)=H(p)-(1/S(1)^3)*e(n*j+i)^2*e(k)^2;

```

```

for(m=j+1;m<=(n-1);m++){

    S(2)=0;Q(2)=0;
    for(l=i+1;l<=m;l++){
        S(2)=S(2)+m(1);
        Q(2)=Q(2)+r(1);
    }

    H(p)=H(p)-(1/(S(1)*S(2)))*(Q(1)/S(1)+Q(2)/S(2))
        *(e(k)*e(n*j+m)*e(n*m+i)+e(n*j+i)*e(m*n+j)*e(n*i+m));

    H(p)=H(p)-(1/(S(1)*S(2)))*
        (E(k)*e(n*j+m)*e(n*m+i)+E(n*j+i)*e(m*n+j)*e(n*i+m)
        +e(k)*E(n*j+m)*e(n*m+i)+e(n*j+i)*E(m*n+j)*e(n*i+m)
        +e(k)*e(n*j+m)*E(n*m+i)+e(n*j+i)*e(m*n+j)*E(n*i+m));

}

for(m=0;m<=i-1;m++){
    S(2)=0;Q(2)=0;
    for(l=m+1;l<=j;l++){
        S(2)=S(2)+m(1);
        Q(2)=Q(2)+r(1);
    }

    H(p)=H(p)+(1/(S(1)*S(2)))*(Q(1)/S(1)+Q(2)/S(2))
        *(e(k)*e(n*m+i)*e(n*j+m)+e(n*j+i)*e(n*i+m)*e(n*m+j));

    H(p)=H(p)+(1/(S(1)*S(2)))*
        *(E(k)*e(n*m+i)*e(n*j+m)+E(n*j+i)*e(n*i+m)*e(n*m+j)
        +e(k)*E(n*m+i)*e(n*j+m)+e(n*j+i)*E(n*i+m)*e(n*m+j)
        +e(k)*e(n*m+i)*E(n*j+m)+e(n*j+i)*e(n*i+m)*E(n*m+j));
}

for(m=p;m<=n-1;m++){

    S(2)=0;

    for(l=i+1;l<=m;l++){

        S(2)=S(2)+m(1);

    }

}

```

```

    if(m<j){

        H(p)=H(p)+(1/(S(1)^2*S(2)))
        *(e(m*n+j)*e(n*j+m)*e(n*j+i)*e(k)
        -e(n*m+i)*e(n*i+m)*e(n*j+i)*e(k));
    }

    if(m>j){

        H(p)=H(p)+(1/(S(1)^2*S(2)))
        *(e(m*n+j)*e(n*j+m)*e(n*j+i)*e(k)
        -e(n*m+i)*e(n*i+m)*e(n*j+i)*e(k));
    }
}

for(m=0;m<=p-1;m++){

    S(2)=0;

    for(l=m+1;l<=j;l++){

        S(2)=S(2)+m(l);

    }

    if(m<i){

        H(p)=H(p)+(1/(S(1)^2*S(2)))
        *(e(n*i+m)*e(n*m+i)*e(n*j+i)*e(k)
        -e(n*j+m)*e(n*m+j)*e(n*j+i)*e(k));
    }

    if(m>i){

        H(p)=H(p)+(1/(S(1)^2*S(2)))
        *(e(n*i+m)*e(n*m+i)*e(n*j+i)*e(k)
        -e(n*j+m)*e(n*m+j)*e(n*j+i)*e(k));
    }

}

//the part of three distinct roots
    for(m=j+1;m<=n-2;m++){

        for(s=m+1;s<=n-1;s++){

```

```

S(2)=0;S(3)=0;

for(l=i+1;l<=m;l++){

    S(2)=S(2)+m(l);

}

for(l=i+1;l<=s;l++){

    S(3)=S(3)+m(l);

}

H(p)=H(p)+(1/(S(1)*S(2)*S(3)))
    *(
    e(k)*e(n*j+m)*e(n*m+s)*e(n*s+i)
    +e(n*j+i)*e(n*m+j)*e(n*s+m)*e(n*i+s)

    +e(k)*e(n*j+s)*e(n*s+m)*e(n*m+i)
    +e(n*j+i)*e(n*s+j)*e(n*m+s)*e(n*i+m)

    +e(n*i+m)*e(n*m+j)*e(n*j+s)*e(n*s+i)
    +e(n*m+i)*e(n*j+m)*e(n*s+j)*e(n*i+s)
    );

    }
}

for(m=1;m<=i-1;m++){
    for(s=0;s<=m-1;s++){

        S(2)=0;S(3)=0;

        for(l=m+1;l<=j;l++){

            S(2)=S(2)+m(l);

        }

        for(l=s+1;l<=j;l++){

            S(3)=S(3)+m(l);

```



```

    }

    H(p)=H(p)+(1/(S(1)*S(2)*S(3)))
        *(
            e(k)*e(n*j+m)*e(n*m+s)*e(n*s+i)
            +e(n*j+i)*e(n*m+j)*e(n*s+m)*e(n*i+s)

            +e(k)*e(n*j+s)*e(n*s+m)*e(n*m+i)
            +e(n*j+i)*e(n*s+j)*e(n*m+s)*e(n*i+m)

            +e(n*i+m)*e(n*m+j)*e(n*j+s)*e(n*s+i)
            +e(n*m+i)*e(n*j+m)*e(n*s+j)*e(n*i+s)
        );
    }
}

for(m=i+1;m<=p-1;m++){
    for(s=(j+1);s<=(n-1);s++){
        S(2)=0;S(3)=0;
        for(l=(m+1);l<=j;l++){
            S(2)=S(2)+m(l);
        }

        for(l=(m+1);l<=s;l++){
            S(3)=S(3)+m(l);
        }

        H(p)=H(p)-((S(1)+S(3))/(S(1)*S(2)*S(3)*(S(1)+S(3)-S(2))))
            *(
                e(k)*e(n*j+m)*e(n*m+s)*e(n*s+i)
                +e(n*j+i)*e(n*m+j)*e(n*s+m)*e(n*i+s)

                +e(k)*e(n*j+s)*e(n*s+m)*e(n*m+i)
                +e(n*j+i)*e(n*s+j)*e(n*m+s)*e(n*i+m)

                +e(n*i+m)*e(n*m+j)*e(n*j+s)*e(n*s+i)
                +e(n*m+i)*e(n*j+m)*e(n*s+j)*e(n*i+s));
    }
}
}

for(p=1;p<=n-1;p++){

    H(p)=n*H(p);

    H(p)=H(p)-z^2*W(p);

```

```

}

for(p=1;p<=n-1;p++){
    for(q=p+1;q<=n-1;q++){
        bracket(H(p),H(q));
    }
}

```

Acknowledgement.

The authors are grateful to M. Jimbo for many helpful discussions and suggestions.

References

- [1] H.M. Babujian and R. Flume, Off-Shell Bethe Ansatz Equation for Gaudin Magnets and Solutions of Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov Equations, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A9** (1994) 2029–2040
- [2] H.M. Babujian and A.V. Kitaev, Generalized Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations and isomonodromy quantization of the equations integrable via the inverse scattering transform: Maxwell-Bloch system with pumping, *J. Math. Phys.* **39** (1998), no. 5, 2499–2506
- [3] P. Boalch, Symplectic manifolds and isomonodromic deformations. *Adv. Math.* **163** (2001), no. 2, 137–205
- [4] P. Boalch, G-bundles, isomonodromy and quantum Weyl groups, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **22** (2002) 1129–66
- [5] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel and V. Toledano Laredo, Gaudin models with irregular singularities, *Adv. Math.* **223** (2010) 873–948
- [6] G. Felder, Y. Markov, V. Tarasov and A. Varchenko, Differential equations compatible with KZ equations, *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* **3** (2000) 139–177
- [7] M. Gaudin, Diagonalisation d’une classe d’Hamiltonians de spin, *J. Phys.* **37** (1976) 1087–1098
- [8] J. Harnad, Quantum isomonodromic deformations and the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations. Symmetries and integrability of difference equations (Estérel, PQ, 1994), 155–161, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 9, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996
- [9] M. Jimbo, H. Nagoya and J. Sun, Remarks on the confluent KZ equation for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ and quantum Painlevé equations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41** (2008)
- [10] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Monodromy preserving deformation of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients II, *Physica D* **2** (1981) 407–448

- [11] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa and K. Ueno, Monodromy preserving deformation of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients I: General theory and τ function, *Physica D* **2** (1981) 306–352
- [12] A. Matsuo, An application of Aomoto-Gel’fand hypergeometric functions to the $SU(n)$ Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **134** (1990) 65–77
- [13] H. Nagoya, Quantum Painlevé Systems of Type $A_l^{(1)}$, *Int. J. Math.* **15** (2004), 1007–1031
- [14] H. Nagoya, A quantization of the sixth Painlevé equation, Noncommutativity and singularities, 291–298, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, 55, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2009
- [15] N. Reshetikhin, The Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov system as a deformation of the isomonodromy problem, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **26** (1992), 167–177
- [16] V.V. Schechtman and A. Varchenko, Hypergeometric solutions of Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **20** (1990) 279–283
- [17] G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, and H. Schönemann. SINGULAR 3-1-0 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations. <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de> (2009)
- [18] K. Takasaki, Gaudin Model, KZ Equation and an Isomonodromic Problem on the Torus, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **44** (1998)
- [19] K. Ueno, Monodromy Preserving deformation and Its Application to Soliton theory. II, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **56** Ser. A (1980), 210–215